

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,971	09/527,971 03/17/2000		Geoffrey Rhoads	EWG-091-US	4496
:	7590	12/30/2003		EXAMINER	
Elmer Galbi			WRIGHT, NORMAN M		
Marger Johnson			ADTIBUT	D. DED MID (DOD	
1030 S.W. Mo			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Portland, OR	97025		2134	7	
				DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
🚾	Office Action Commence	09/527,97	71	RHOADS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Norman M	_	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - External form of the continue of the c	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significantly reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the state eriod will apply and witatute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timuser, however, may a reply be timuser, and the start of the star	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	17 June 2003.						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is no	on-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	14/01/01/01/01/11	oquii omom.					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific								
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen			_	NORMAN MWRIGHT				
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(_	(PTO-413 PRIMARY) ÈXAMINER atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/527,971

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,889,868, hereinafter '868.

As to claims 1-9, '868 teaches a method for optimization, detection and protection of watermarks, said method comprising: a system for detecting, utilization of knowledge characteristics, detecting a watermark, filtering to remove aspects of data/stream, enhancing signal to noise ratios, for a data image, audio data, video data, pre-filtering, applying a high pass filter, a nonlinear operator. See, abs., background, summary, col. 3, lines 17-30 et seq., lines 42-50, and 63, col. 4, lines 1-5, et seq., lines 17-22, and 50-55 et seq., col. 5-6, col. 7, lines 1-5, 18-35, and 63-67 et seq., col. 10, lines 40 et seq., col. 11-13, col. 16, lines 49 et seq., col. 17, lines 46-65 et seq., col. 18, lines 20 et seq., claims 1, 3-6, 16, 20, 21-23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/527,971 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '868 as applied to claims 1 and 5-6 above.
- 5. As to claims 10-12, '868 teach the claimed invention as recited above not explicitly taught is the use of a Laplacian or Signum operator. Official notice is taking of both the motive and modification needed to modify the invention of '868 with these features.
- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of '868 with a time varying operator such as Laplace and a nonlinear operator such as Signum. The invention of '868 makes use of nonlinear operators and time varying operators as a means to detect characteristic of a data stream containing watermarks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have desired to use Signum and Laplace, as a matter of design choice. '868 use time varying characteristic and non-linear characteristics to detect various kinds of watermarks in different media, video, audio, etc., see background and summary. Therefore, the use of Laplace or Signum or any other algorithm could serve as operands for the filtering mechanism.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Norman M. Wright whose telephone number is (703) 305-9586. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays-Fridays from 8am to 5 pm, and on alternating Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900

NORMAN M WRIGHT
PRIMARY EXAMINER